

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Hall
Given Name	Michael
Person ID	1286698
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Hall
Given Name	Michael
Person ID	1286698
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	My understanding is that Green belts are a buffer between towns, and between town and countryside. The green belt designation is a planning tool and the aim of green belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open. I also understand that building of any kind is generally banned unless it is for exceptional circumstances. Local Planning Authorities may authorise building work if it is for: Agricultural buildings. Outdoor sport or recreation facilities. As JPA19: Bamford/Norden is for residential housing then the reasoning is unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I believe we have a duty of care for future generations and this particular proposal is a short term thinking with little consideration to the long term future. I would suggest that the relevance of green belt has not diminished and should be retained and therefore the JPA 19: Bamford/Norden is removed from the PfE